Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Commission by Section 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonments and a grant of the certificate are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Columbia to appear or to be represented at the hearing.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–14059 Filed 5–27–98; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-220-000]

Enron Energy Services, Inc. Enron Capital & Trade Resources Corp.; Notice of Petition For Limited Waiver

May 21, 1998.

Take notice that on May 15, 1998, Enron Energy Services, Inc. (EES) and Enron Capital & Trade Resources Corp. (ECT) (collectively Petitioners) tendered for filing a petition for limited waiver of Section 284.243 of the Commission's regulations and related Commission policy pursuant to Rule 101(e) and 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Petitioners seek a limited waiver of the Commission's regulations regarding the assignment of capacity and the Commission's general policy that a shipper of natural gas on an interstate pipeline must have title to the gas being shipped from the point of receipt to the point of delivery. Petitioners request that the waiver apply only to their transfers of title in transit to Petitioners' sales customers in New York State served by any of five interstate pipelines, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (NFG), Tennessee Gas Pipeline

Company (Tennessee), and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco).

Due to the adverse competitive situation created in New York State as a result of our competitors' interpretation of the "shippers must have title" policy and the Commission's capacity release regulations, Petitioners request expedited Commission action on this request in order to permit Petitoners to utilize the same marketing mechanisms being used by their competitors.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before May 28, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public Reference Room.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 98–14074 Filed 5–27–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-222-000]

Kern River Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 21, 1998.

Take notice that on May 18, 1998, Kern River Gas Transmission Company (Kern River) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, a number of tariff sheets to become effective January 1, 1997, June 1, 1997, October 1, 1997, or July 1, 1998, as specified in Appendix A of the filing

Kern River states that the purpose of this filing is to make several minor revisions to Kern River's tariff. Kern River proposes (1) to relocate certain Gas Industry Standards Board (GISB) standards in its tariff and on pending tariff sheets filed in Kern River's Docket No. RP97–342–004 pooling proposal, to increase ease of reference and to

eliminate duplication; (2) to correct the stated Gas Research Institute (GRI) surcharge; and (3) to make other general "housekeeping" changes.

Kern River states that a copy of this filing has been served upon its customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-14076 Filed 5-27-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-556-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

May 21, 1998.

Take notice that on May 15, 1998, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251–1478, filed in Docket No. CP98–556–000 on abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization and approval to abandon an obsolete natural gas transportation service for SCM Corporation (SCM) performed under its Rate Schedule X–169 which was authorized in Docket No. CP85–465, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway states that abandonment is being proposed because there has not been any service provided under the agreement for a number of years and that the parties have mutually agreed to termination. No imbalances exist. No facilities are proposed to be abandoned and that service obligations to its remaining customers will not be